arXiv:1208.5362v2 [math.DG] 5 Sep 2012

SEMI-SLANT RIEMANNIAN MAPS

KWANG-SOON PARK

Abstract. As a generalization of slant submersions [16], semi-slant submersions [15], and slant Riemannian maps [19], we define the notion of semi-slant Riemannian maps from almost Hermitian manifolds to Riemannian manifolds. We study the integrability of distributions, the geometry of fibers, the harmonicity of such maps, etc. We also find a condition for such maps to be totally geodesic and investigate some decomposition theorems. Moreover, we give examples.

1. Introduction

Given a C^∞-map F from a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N), according to the conditions on the map F, we call F a harmonic map [1], a totally geodesic map [1], an isometric immersion [2], a Riemannian submersion [9], a Riemannian map [4], etc.

For the isometric immersion F, it is originated from Gauss' work, which studied surfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ and there are a lots of papers and books on this topic. With F to be a Riemannian submersion, B. O'Neill [9] and A. Gray [6] firstly studied the map F. And there are several kinds of Riemannian submersions:

semi-Riemannian submersion and Lorentzian submersion [5], Riemannian submersion ([6], [9]), slant submersion ([2], [16]), anti-invariant submersion [18], almost Hermitian submersion [21], contact-complex submersion [7], quaternionic submersion [8], almost h-slant submersion [10], semi-invariant submersion [17], almost h-semi-invariant submersion [11], semi-slant submersion [15], almost h-semi-slant submersions [12], v-semi-slant submersions [13], almost h-v-semi-slant submersions [14], etc.

A. Fischer [4] introduced a Riemannian map F, which generalizes and unifies the notions of an isometric immersion, a Riemannian submersion, and an isometry. After that, there are lots of papers on this topic. Moreover, B. Sahin defined a slant Riemannian map [19]. As a generalization of slant Riemannian maps [19] and semi-slant submersions [15], we will define a semi-slant Riemannian map.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we remind some notions, which are needed for later use. In section 3 we give the definition of a semi-slant Riemannian map and obtain some properties on it. In section 4 using a semi-slant Riemannian map, we obtain some decomposition theorems. In section 5 we give examples.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) be Riemannian manifolds, where M, N are C^∞-manifolds and g_M, g_N are Riemannian metrics on M, N, respectively. Let F :

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15; 53C43.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian map; semi-slant angle; harmonic map; totally geodesic.

$(M, g_M) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a C^∞-map. We call the map F a *C^∞-submersion* if F is surjective and the differential $(F_*)_p$ has a maximal rank for any $p \in M$. The map F is said to be a *Riemannian submersion* [9] if F is a C^∞-submersion and $(F_*)_p : ((\ker(F_*)_p)^\perp, (g_M)_p) \mapsto (T_{F(p)}N, (g_N)_{F(p)})$ is a linear isometry for each $p \in M$, where $(\ker(F_*)_p)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of the space $\ker(F_*)_p$ in the tangent space T_pM of M at p. We call the map F a *Riemannian map* [4] if $(F_*)_p : ((\ker(F_*)_p)^\perp, (g_M)_p) \mapsto ((rangeF_*)_{F(p)}, (g_N)_{F(p)})$ is a linear isometry for each $p \in M$, where $(rangeF_*)_{F(p)} := (F_*)_p((\ker(F_*)_p)^\perp)$ for $p \in M$. Let (M, g_M, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold and (N, g_N) a Riemannian manifold, where J is an almost complex structure on M. Let $F : (M, g_M, J) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a C^∞-map. We call the map F a *slant submersion* [16] if F is a Riemannian submersion and the angle $\theta = \theta(X)$ between JX and the space $\ker(F_*)_p$ is constant for nonzero $X \in \ker(F_*)_p$ and $p \in M$.

We call the angle θ a *slant angle*.

The map F is said to be an *anti-invariant submersion* [18] if F is a Riemannian submersion and $JX \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ for $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. We call the map F a *semi-invariant submersion* [17] if F is a Riemannian submersion and there is a distribution $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \ker F_*$ such that

$$\ker F_* = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2, \ J(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1, \ J(\mathcal{D}_2) \subset (\ker F_*)^\perp,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_1$ in $\ker F_*$. The map F is said to be a *semi-slant submersion* [15] if F is a Riemannian submersion and there is a distribution $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \ker F_*$ such that

$$\ker F_* = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2, \ J(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1,$$

and the angle $\theta = \theta(X)$ between JX and the space $(\mathcal{D}_2)_q$ is constant for nonzero $X \in (\mathcal{D}_2)_q$ and $q \in M$, where $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_1$ in $\ker F_*$.

We call the angle θ a *semi-slant angle*.

We call the map F a *slant Riemannian map* [19] if F is a Riemannian map and the angle $\theta = \theta(X)$ between JX and the space $\ker(F_*)_p$ is constant for nonzero $X \in \ker(F_*)_p$ and $p \in M$.

We call the angle θ a *slant angle*.

The map F is said to be a *semi-invariant Riemannian map* [20] if F is a Riemannian map and there is a distribution $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \ker F_*$ such that

$$\ker F_* = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2, \ J(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1, \ J(\mathcal{D}_2) \subset (\ker F_*)^\perp,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_1$ in $\ker F_*$. Let $F : (M, g_M) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a C^∞-map. The second fundamental form of F is given by

$$(\nabla F_*)(X, Y) := \nabla^F_X F_* Y - F_*(\nabla_X Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \tag{2.1}$$

where ∇^F is the pullback connection and we denote conveniently by ∇ the Levi-Civita connections of the metrics g_M and g_N [1]. Remind that F is said to be *harmonic* if we have the tension field $\tau(F) := trace(\nabla F_*) = 0$ and we call the map F a *totally geodesic* map if $(\nabla F_*)(X, Y) = 0$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ [1]. Denote the range of F_* by $rangeF_*$ as a subset of the pullback bundle $F^{-1}TN$. With its orthogonal complement $(rangeF_*)^\perp$ we have the following decomposition

$$F^{-1}TN = rangeF_* \oplus (rangeF_*)^\perp.$$

Moreover, we get

$$TM = \ker F_* \oplus (\ker F_*)^\perp.$$

Then we easily have

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then*

$$(\nabla F_*)(X, Y) \in \Gamma((rangeF_*)^\perp) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then the map F satisfies a generalized eikonal equation* [4]

$$2e(F) = ||F_*||^2 = rank F.$$

As we know, $||F_*||^2$ is a continuous function on M and rank F is integer-valued so that rank F is locally constant. Hence, if M is connected, then rank F is a constant function [4].

3. Semi-slant Riemannian maps

Definition 3.1. Let (M, g_M, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold and (N, g_N) a Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian map $F : (M, g_M, J) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ is called a *semi-slant Riemannian map* if there is a distribution $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \ker F_*$ such that

$$\ker F_* = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2, \ J(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1,$$

and the angle $\theta = \theta(X)$ between JX and the space $(\mathcal{D}_2)_p$ is constant for nonzero $X \in (\mathcal{D}_2)_p$ and $p \in M$, where $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_1$ in $\ker F_*$.

We call the angle θ a *semi-slant angle.*

Let $F : (M, g_M, J) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a semi-slant Riemannian map. Then there is a distribution $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \ker F_*$ such that

$$\ker F_* = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2, \ J(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1,$$

and the angle $\theta = \theta(X)$ between JX and the space $(\mathcal{D}_2)_p$ is constant for nonzero $X \in (\mathcal{D}_2)_p$ and $p \in M$, where $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_1$ in $\ker F_*$.

Then for $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we get

$$X = PX + QX, \tag{3.1}$$

where $PX \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_1)$ and $QX \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$.

For $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we write

$$JX = \phi X + \omega X, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\phi X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $\omega X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

For $Z \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, we have

$$JZ = BZ + CZ, \tag{3.3}$$

where $BZ \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $CZ \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

For $U \in \Gamma(TM)$, we obtain

$$U = \mathcal{V}U + \mathcal{H}U, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{V}U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $\mathcal{H}U \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

For $W \in \Gamma(F^{-1}TN)$, we write

$$W = \bar{P}W + \bar{Q}W, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\bar{P}W \in \Gamma(rangeF_*)$ and $\bar{Q}W \in \Gamma((rangeF_*)^\perp)$.

Then

$$(\ker F_*)^{\perp} = \omega\mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \mu, \tag{3.6}$$

where μ is the orthogonal complement of $\omega\mathcal{D}_2$ in $(\ker F_*)^{\perp}$ and is invariant under J.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1, \omega\mathcal{D}_1 = 0, \phi\mathcal{D}_2 \subset \mathcal{D}_2, B((\ker F_*)^{\perp}) = \mathcal{D}_2 \\
&\phi^2 + B\omega = -id, C^2 + \omega B = -id, \omega\phi + C\omega = 0, BC + \phi B = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Define the tensors $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{H}F \tag{3.7}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{H}F \tag{3.8}$$

for $E, F \in \Gamma(TM)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g_M.

For $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, define

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X Y := \mathcal{V}\nabla_X Y \tag{3.9}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y := \widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \phi\widehat{\nabla}_X Y \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y := \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y - \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X Y. \tag{3.11}$$

Then it is easy to obtain

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, g_M, J) be a Kähler manifold and (N, g_N) a Riemannian manifold. Let $F : (M, g_M, J) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a semi-slant Riemannian map. Then we get*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y &= \phi\widehat{\nabla}_X Y + B\mathcal{T}_X Y \\
\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y &= \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X Y + C\mathcal{T}_X Y
\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + \mathcal{A}_Z CW &= \phi\mathcal{A}_Z W + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_Z W \\
\mathcal{A}_Z BW + \mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW &= \omega\mathcal{A}_Z W + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_Z W
\end{aligned}$$

for $Z, W \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla}_X BZ + \mathcal{T}_X CZ &= \phi\mathcal{T}_X Z + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_X Z \\
\mathcal{T}_X BZ + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X CZ &= \omega\mathcal{T}_X Z + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_X Z \\
\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z \phi X + \mathcal{A}_Z \omega X &= \phi\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z X + B\mathcal{A}_Z X \\
\mathcal{A}_Z \phi X + \mathcal{H}\nabla_Z \omega X &= \omega\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z X + C\mathcal{A}_Z X
\end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $Z \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^{\perp})$.

Let F be a slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the slant angle θ [19]. Then given non-vanishing $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we have

$$\cos\theta = \frac{|\phi X|}{|JX|} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos\theta = \frac{g_M(JX, \phi X)}{|JX| \cdot |\phi X|} = \frac{-g_M(X, \phi^2 X)}{|X| \cdot |\phi X|}$$

so that

$$\cos^2\theta = \frac{-g_M(X, \phi^2 X)}{|X|^2},$$

which means

$$\phi^2 X = -\cos^2\theta \cdot X. \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, if (M, g_M, J) is Kähler, then it is easy to get

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y = C\mathcal{T}_X Y - \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y \tag{3.13}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = B\mathcal{T}_X Y - \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y \tag{3.14}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$. Assume that the tensor ω is parallel.

Then

$$C\mathcal{T}_X Y = \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$$

so that interchanging the role of X and Y,

$$C\mathcal{T}_Y X = \mathcal{T}_Y \phi X \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*).$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y = \mathcal{T}_Y \phi X \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*).$$

Substituting Y by ϕX and using (3.12),

$$\mathcal{T}_{\phi X} \phi X = -\cos^2\theta \cdot \mathcal{T}_X X \quad \text{for } X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*). \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, we have

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the semi-slant angle θ. Then we obtain*

$$\phi^2 X = -\cos^2\theta \cdot X \quad \textit{for } X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2). \tag{3.16}$$

Remark 3.4. It is easy to check that the converse of Theorem 3.3 is also true.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(\phi X, \phi Y) &= \cos^2\theta g_M(X, Y) \\ g_M(\omega X, \omega Y) &= \sin^2\theta g_M(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$, when $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we can locally choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, Je_1, \cdots, e_k, Je_k, f_1, \sec\theta\phi f_1, \csc\theta\omega f_1, \cdots, f_s, \sec\theta\phi f_s, \csc\theta\omega f_s, g_1, Jg_1, \cdots, g_t, Jg_t\}$ of TM such that $\{e_1, Je_1, \cdots, e_k, Je_k\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{D}_1$, $\{f_1, \sec\theta\phi f_1, \cdots, f_s, \sec\theta\phi f_s\}$ an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{D}_2$, $\{\csc\theta\omega f_1, \cdots, \csc\theta\omega f_s\}$ an orthonormal frame of $\omega\mathcal{D}_2$, and $\{g_1, Jg_1, \cdots, g_t, Jg_t\}$ an orthonormal frame of μ.

In a similar way, we have

Lemma 3.5. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the semi-slant angle θ. If the tensor ω is parallel, then we get*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\phi X}\phi X = -\cos^2\theta \cdot \mathcal{T}_X X \quad \textit{for } X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2). \tag{3.17}$$

We now investigate the integrability of distributions. The proofs of the following Theorems are the same with those of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 in [15].

Theorem 3.6. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then the complex distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ is integrable if and only if we have*

$$\omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X Y - \widehat{\nabla}_Y X) = 0 \quad \textit{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_1).$$

Similarly, we get

Theorem 3.7. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then the slant distribution $\mathcal{D}_2$ is integrable if and only if we obtain*

$$P(\phi(\widehat{\nabla}_X Y - \widehat{\nabla}_Y X)) = 0 \quad \textit{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2).$$

Given a semi-slant Riemannian map F from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the semi-slant angle $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we define an endomorphism $\widehat{J}$ of $\ker F_*$ by

$$\widehat{J} := JP + \sec\theta\phi Q.$$

Then

$$\widehat{J}^2 = -id \quad \text{on } \ker F_*. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that the distribution $\ker F_*$ is integrable and does not need to be invariant under the almost complex structure J. Furthermore, its dimension may be odd. But with the endomorphism $\widehat{J}$ we have

Theorem 3.8. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the semi-slant angle $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then the fibers $(F^{-1}(x), \widehat{J})$ are almost complex manifolds for $x \in M$.*

We deal with the harmonicity of a map F. Given a C^∞-map F from a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N), we can naturally define a function $e(F) : M \mapsto [0, \infty)$ given by

$$e(F)(x) := \frac{1}{2}|(F_*)_x|^2, \quad x \in M,$$

where $|(F_*)_x|$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $(F_*)_x$ [1]. We call $e(F)$ the *energy density* of F. Let K be a compact domain of M, i.e., K is the compact closure $\bar{U}$ of a non-empty connected open subset U of M. The *energy integral* of F over K is the integral of its energy density:

$$E(F; K) := \int_K e(F) v_{g_M} = \frac{1}{2}\int_K |F_*|^2 v_{g_M},$$

where v_{g_M} is the volume form on (M, g_M). Let $C^\infty(M, N)$ denote the space of all C^∞-maps from M to N. A C^∞-map $F : M \mapsto N$ is said to be *harmonic* if it is a critical point of the energy functional $E(\ ;K) : C^\infty(M,N) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ for any compact domain $K \subset M$. By the result of J. Eells and J. Sampson [3], we know that the map F is harmonic if and only if the tension field $\tau(F) := trace \nabla F_* = 0$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) such that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is integrable. Then F is harmonic if and only if $trace(\nabla F_*) = 0$ on $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\widetilde{H} = 0$, where $\widetilde{H}$ denotes the mean curvature vector field of $rangeF_*$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, we have $trace \nabla F_*|_{\ker F_*} \in \Gamma(rangeF_*)$ and $trace \nabla F_*|_{(\ker F_*)^\perp} \in \Gamma((rangeF_*)^\perp)$ so that

$$trace(\nabla F_*) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad trace \nabla F_*|_{\ker F_*} = 0 \text{ and } trace \nabla F_*|_{(\ker F_*)^\perp} = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_1$ is invariant under J, we can choose locally an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, Je_1, \cdots, e_k, Je_k\}$ of $\mathcal{D}_1$. Using the integrability of the distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla F_*)(Je_i, Je_i) &= -F_* \nabla_{Je_i} Je_i = -F_* J(\nabla_{e_i} Je_i + [Je_i, e_i]) \\ &= F_* \nabla_{e_i} e_i = -(\nabla F_*)(e_i, e_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$trace \nabla F_*|_{\ker F_*} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad trace \nabla F_*|_{\mathcal{D}_2} = 0.$$

Moreover, it is easy to get that

$$trace \nabla F_*|_{(\ker F_*)^\perp} = l \widetilde{H} \quad \text{for } l := \dim(\ker F_*)^\perp$$

so that

$$trace \nabla F_*|_{(\ker F_*)^\perp} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \widetilde{H} = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain the result. □

Using Lemma 3.5, we have

Corollary 3.10. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) such that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is integrable and the semi-slant angle $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Assume that the tensor ω is parallel. Then F is harmonic if and only if $\widetilde{H} = 0$.*

We now study the condition for such a map F to be totally geodesic.

Theorem 3.11. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then F is a totally geodesic map if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H} \nabla_X \omega Y) = 0 \\ &\omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X BZ + \mathcal{T}_X CZ) + C(\mathcal{T}_X BZ + \mathcal{H} \nabla_X CZ) = 0 \\ &\bar{Q}(\nabla^F_{Z_1} F_* Z_2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $Z, Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

Proof. If $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(Z_1, Z_2) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \bar{Q}((\nabla F_*)(Z_1, Z_2)) = \bar{Q}(\nabla^F_{Z_1} F_* Z_2) = 0.$$

Given $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla F_*)(X,Y) &= -F_*(\nabla_X Y) = F_*(J\nabla_X(\phi Y + \omega Y)) \\ &= F_*(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + B\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + C\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \phi\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y + \omega\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y \\ &\quad + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(\nabla F_*)(X,Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y) = 0.$$

If $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $Z \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, then since the tensor ∇F_* is symmetric, we only need to consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla F_*)(X,Z) &= -F_*(\nabla_X Z) = F_*(J\nabla_X(BZ + CZ)) \\ &= F_*(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_X BZ + \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X BZ + B\mathcal{T}_X BZ + C\mathcal{T}_X BZ + \phi\mathcal{T}_X CZ + \omega\mathcal{T}_X CZ \\ &\quad + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_X CZ + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_X CZ).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\nabla F_*)(X,Z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X BZ + \mathcal{T}_X CZ) + C(\mathcal{T}_X BZ + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X CZ) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain the result. □

Let $F : (M, g_M) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian map. The map F is called a Riemannian map *with totally umbilical fibers* if

$$\mathcal{T}_X Y = g_M(X,Y)H \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*), \tag{3.19}$$

where H is the mean curvature vector field of the fiber.

In the same way with the proof of Lemma 2.19 in [15], we can show

Lemma 3.12. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map with totally umbilical fibers from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then we have*

$$H \in \Gamma(\omega\mathcal{D}_2).$$

4. DECOMPOSITION THEOREMS

Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M), we consider a distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on M. We call the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ *autoparallel* (or a *totally geodesic foliation*) if $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is autoparallel, then it is obviously integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M. The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be *parallel* if $\nabla_Z Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ for $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is parallel, then we easily obtain that its orthogonal complementary distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is also parallel. In this situation, M is locally a Riemannian product manifold of the leaves of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$. It is also easy to show that if the distributions $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ are simultaneously autoparallel, then they are also parallel.

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then (M, g_M, J) is locally a Riemannian product manifold of the leaves of* $\ker F_*$ *and* $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ *if and only if*

$$\omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y) = 0 \quad \textit{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$$

and

$$\phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + \mathcal{A}_Z CW) + B(\mathcal{A}_Z BW + \mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW) = 0 \quad \textit{for } Z, W \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp).$$

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X Y &= -J\nabla_X JY = -J(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y)\\ &= -(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + B\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + C\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \phi\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y + \omega\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y\\ &\quad + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*) \Leftrightarrow \omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y) = 0.$$

Given $Z, W \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_Z W &= -J\nabla_Z JW = -J(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + \mathcal{A}_Z BW + \mathcal{A}_Z CW + \mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW)\\ &= -(\phi\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + \omega\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + B\mathcal{A}_Z BW + C\mathcal{A}_Z BW + \phi\mathcal{A}_Z CW\\ &\quad + \omega\mathcal{A}_Z CW + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nabla_Z W \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp) \Leftrightarrow \phi(\mathcal{V}\nabla_Z BW + \mathcal{A}_Z CW) + B(\mathcal{A}_Z BW + \mathcal{H}\nabla_Z CW) = 0.$$

Therefore, the result follows. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let F be a semi-slant Riemannian map from a Kähler manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N). Then the fibers of F are locally Riemannian product manifolds of the leaves of $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ if and only if*

$$Q(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + B\mathcal{T}_U \phi V) = 0 \text{ and } \omega\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + C\mathcal{T}_U \phi V = 0 \quad \text{for } U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&P(\phi(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + B(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y)) = 0\\ &\omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$.

Proof. Given $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_U V &= -J\nabla_U JV = -J(\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + \mathcal{T}_U \phi V)\\ &= -(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + \omega\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + B\mathcal{T}_U \phi V + C\mathcal{T}_U \phi V).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nabla_U V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_1) \Leftrightarrow Q(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + B\mathcal{T}_U \phi V) = 0 \text{ and } \omega\widehat{\nabla}_U \phi V + C\mathcal{T}_U \phi V = 0.$$

For $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X Y &= -J\nabla_X JY = -J(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y)\\ &= -(\phi\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \omega\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + B\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + C\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \phi\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y\\ &\quad + \omega\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y + B\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y + C\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{cases} P(\phi(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + B(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y)) = 0,\\ \omega(\widehat{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y) + C(\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y) = 0.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have the result. □

5. Examples

Note that given an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n})$, we can canonically choose an almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & J(a_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots + a_{2n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + a_{2n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) \\ & = -a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots - a_{2n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}} + a_{2n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, \cdots, a_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Throughout this section, we will use this notation.

Example 5.1. Let F be an almost Hermitian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J_M) onto an almost Hermitian manifold (N, g_N, J_N) [21]. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map with $\mathcal{D}_1 = \ker F_*$.

Example 5.2. Let F be a slant submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the slant angle θ [16]. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that $\mathcal{D}_2 = \ker F_*$ and the semi-slant angle θ.

Example 5.3. Let F be an anti-invariant submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) [18]. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that $\mathcal{D}_2 = \ker F_*$ and the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Example 5.4. Let F be a semi-invariant submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) [17]. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map with the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Example 5.5. Let F be a semi-slant submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the semi-slant angle θ [15]. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map with the semi-slant angle θ.

Example 5.6. Let (M, g_M, J) be a $2m$-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold and (N, g_N) a $(2m-1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let F be a Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g_M, J) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with $\operatorname{rank} F = 2m - 1$. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that $\mathcal{D}_2 = \ker F_*$ and the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Example 5.7. Define a map $F : \mathbb{R}^8 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^5$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_8) = (x_2, x_1, \frac{x_5\cos\alpha + x_6\sin\alpha + x_4}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, x_5\sin\alpha - x_6\cos\alpha)$$

with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8} > \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_2 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \cos\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} + \sin\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} >$$

with the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Example 5.8. Define a map $F : \mathbb{R}^6 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_6) = (x_1\cos\alpha - x_3\sin\alpha, c, x_4),$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} > \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_2 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \sin\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cos\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} >$$

with the semi-slant angle $\theta = \alpha$.

Example 5.9. Define a map $F : \mathbb{R}^{10} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^7$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{10}) = (x_4, 0, x_3, \frac{x_5 - x_6}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{x_7 + x_9}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_8 + x_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}).$$

Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}, -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_8} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}} > \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_2 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} >$$

with the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Example 5.10. Define a map $F : \mathbb{R}^{10} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^5$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{10}) = (\frac{x_3 + x_5}{\sqrt{2}}, 2012, x_6, \frac{x_7 + x_9}{\sqrt{2}}, x_8).$$

Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} > \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_2 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}} >$$

with the semi-slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Example 5.11. Define a map $F : \mathbb{R}^8 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^5$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_8) = (x_8, x_7, \gamma, x_3 \cos\alpha - x_5 \sin\alpha, x_4 \sin\beta - x_6 \cos\beta),$$

where α, β, γ are constant. Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 =< \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} > \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_2 =< \sin\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \cos\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \cos\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \sin\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} >$$

with the semi-slant angle θ with $\cos\theta = |\sin(\alpha + \beta)|$.

Example 5.12. Let $\widetilde{F}$ be a slant Riemannian map from an almost Hermitian manifold (M_1, g_{M_1}, J_1) to a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with the slant angle θ [19] and (M_2, g_{M_2}, J_2) an almost Hermitian manifold. Let (M, g, J) be the warped product of (M_1, g_{M_1}, J_1) and (M_2, g_{M_2}, J_2) by a positive function f on M_1 [5], where $J = J_1 \times J_2$. Define a map $F : (M, g, J) \mapsto (N, g_N)$ by

$$F(x, y) = \widetilde{F}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M_1 \text{ and } y \in M_2.$$

Then the map F is a semi-slant Riemannian map such that $\mathcal{D}_1 = TM_2$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = \ker \widetilde{F}_*$ with the semi-slant angle θ.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Baird, J. C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, Oxford science publications, 2003.

[2] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of slant submaniflods*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, 1990.

[3] J. Eells, J. M. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. (1964), **86**, 109-160.

[4] A. E. Fischer, *Riemannian maps between Riemannian manifolds*, Contemporary Math. (1992), **132**, 331-366.

[5] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus, and A. M. Pastore, *Riemannian submersions and related topics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2004.

[6] A. Gray, *Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions*, J. Math. Mech. (1967), **16**, 715-737.

[7] S. Ianus, A. M. Ionescu, R. Mazzocco, G. E. Vilcu, *Riemannian submersions from almost contact metric manifolds* , arXiv: 1102.1570v1 [math. DG].

[8] S. Ianus, R. Mazzocco, G. E. Vilcu, *Riemannian submersions from quaternionic manifolds* , Acta. Appl. Math.(2008) **104**, 83-89.

[9] B. O'Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Mich. Math. J., (1966), **13**, 458-469.

[10] K. S. Park, *H-slant submersions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. (2012), **49** No. 2, 329-338.

[11] K. S. Park, *H-semi-invariant submersions*, Taiwan. J. Math. (2012), **16** No. 5, 1865-1878.

[12] K. S. Park, *H-semi-slant submersions*, Preprint (2011).

[13] K. S. Park, *V-semi-slant submersions*, arXiv:1206.1404v1 [math.DG].

[14] K. S. Park, *H-v-semi-slant submersions*, Preprint (2012).

[15] K. S. Park, R. Prasad *Semi-slant submersions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., Accepted, arXiv:1201.0814v2 [math.DG].

[16] B. Sahin, *slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome (2011), **54**(102) No. 1, 93 - 105.

[17] B. Sahin, *Semi-invariant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Canad. Math. Bull. (2011), **54**, No. 3.

[18] B. Sahin, *Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. (2010), **8** No. 3, 437-447.

[19] B. Sahin, *Slant Riemannian maps from almost Hermitian manifolds*, arXiv:1206.3563v1 [math.DG].

[20] B. Sahin, *Semi-invariant Riemannian maps from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Indagationes Math. (2012), **23**, 80-94.

[21] B. Watson, *Almost Hermitian submersions*, J. Differential Geom.(1976), **11**(1), 147-165.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-747, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `parkksn@gmail.com`